UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	v. Emmanuel Nathaniel Butler	Case No. 1:12-mj-00078-ESC		
	Defendant			
	er conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Aderication and the detained pending trial.	ct, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require		
	Part I – Findings	of Fact		
_	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U a federal offense a state or local offense that worksisted – that is	.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of uld have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had		
_	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(which the prison term is 10 years or more.	4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for		
an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.				
_	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten ye	ars or more is prescribed in:		
a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.				
_	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: a minor victim			
	the possession or use of a firearm or design a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 225	tructive device or any other dangerous weapon		
	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	e defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state		
	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the da offense described in finding (1).	te of conviction defendant's release from prison for the		
	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption person or the community. I further find that defendant has r	that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another not rebutted that presumption.		
	Alternative Find	ings (A)		
(1) T	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has co	ommitted an offense		
_	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	e is prescribed in:		
_	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the	d by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions safety of the community.		
<u>√</u> (1) T	Alternative Find here is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	ings (B)		
(2) 1	here is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the	safety of another person or the community.		
	Part II – Statement of the Re	asons for Detention		
	nd that the testimony and information submitted at the deter a preponderance of the evidence that:	ntion hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing		
	ant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest deant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the c			

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	February 24, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge